| Q10. To what extent do you agree that the Air Quality Action Plan has identified the areas of work needed to improve air quality in the Borough? If you disagree, please state why. |
| --- |
| There needs to be far more work on road transport. The action plan is limited to encouragement with no meaningful plans to actually change the road network to reduce the use of motors in and through the borough. |
| Havering doesn't have third-party reporting apps* to allow anyone visiting Havering, not just living or working in Havering, to report incidents of poor Air Quality.<br><br>*Examples are: "I love Clean Streets" or "FixMyStreet" |
| More frequent comms about the dangers of log burners in homes and bonfires.<br>More nature-based solutions to be included - when trees are planted, are the most carbon absorbing ones being planted? Are they being planted in areas with the worst air quality? Are they trees of an age that can start to capture carbon, not young whips? |
| Demolition of prized Old Builds constructed prior to the 1950s is causing far worse air quality if a ban on demolition isn't enacted and Traditional Design Codes aren't made mandatory. |
| Too little too late |
| Every answer on here will be negative. According to Mayor Khan all of the air quality problems were solved by ULEZ. None of the M25 fumes would dare come into a London borough. And of course Launders Lane is a figment of our imagination.<br>Havering should spend it's limited resources carrying out its statutory duties and leave this to Mayor Khan and the government, who have our money to tackle it. |
| You need to sort Arnold fields Launders Lane first |
| Launders Lane |
| Would seriously affect local businesses and residents. Particularly industrial sites that are literally meters from the borders with Essex. |
| More needs to be done to rectify launders Lane and at a quicker pace |
| On a regular basis I can detect foul, acrid smells inside and outside of my house, which I presume is from the Cory Incinerator at Belvedere which is undergoing expansion. Car exhausts and motorbike exhausts enter my house which I have lived since 2002. Ever since speed humps were installed on Upper Rainham Road traffic density has increased exponentially on Woodland and South End Road by my postcode. It is horrific with the added pest of status car petrol heads. I feel that air quality where I live and particulate air pollution has worsened since 2002. South End Road has nearly become as dense in traffic as the A13, yet it is totally residential. The amount of thunderous traffic is quite horrifying when one actually stops trying to ignore it. If this was Zone 1, the rich inheritors of the earth would not allow it. And as for Launder's Field - what more can I say! South End Road is a complete air and noise pollutant. The only vehicle I excuse is the bus. |
| See my comments in the previous box. |

| |
|---|
| Launders lane is my main concern I can see council is looking at transportation electric cars buses and van but my priority is launders lane near where I live do not know the forward from the council regarding this |
| Your happy to tax, tax, tax and say its for cleaner air without toxins HOWEVER. The mayor of London like to send up a mass of toxins every christmas/ new year in London.<br>Also the pestercides which are used ON OUR FOOD, ALONG OUR ROADS are still being used. These are full of toxins. Maybe get rid of all that first |
| Air quality?? |
| The air quality is extremely bad due to fires and toxins from launders lane and nothing has been done. |
| The rate of building construction and planning being pushed through is NOT taking in to air quality pollution. Out green spaces are being taken away. We more need trees !!! |
| Launder's lane still exists |
| Only time will tell if air quality really improves. |
| Have a word with the Chinese and follow their advice |
| Most airbourne pollution is imported on the wind from adjacent areas |
| Air quality in Havering is good, no improvement with ULEZ, unnecessary to do in Havering. |
| As previously pointed out the non completion of the work in replacing the Gallows Corner flyover |
| Waste of time and money. The borough has far more issues to sort out than this. |
| Zero confidence focus is controlling individuals and being soft and incompetent with private and businesses |
| Moving to electric vehicles still creates pollution - you are just moving it from fossil fuels to other types - whats the plan to dispose of those lithium batteries? Pollution from factories building new vehicles. Seems more about making money to me.<br><br>We are bordered by the M25, Havering pollution is out of your control.<br><br>ULEZ is just a money scheme.<br><br>Gallows Corner creating so much build up of traffic. |
| Gallows corner closure for such a long period has been a disgrace and caused a great deal of extra pollution and the Council seem to have no control over this. |
| Say no to the proposed moto service station. All those extra cars, lorry's, congestion on local roads is unnecessary. We live in an area of beauty, please keep it this way. There are plenty of services stations on m25. |
| Proposals like the M25 suggested construction will make an ENORMOUS impact, and should not be allowed to take place. Other elements that will make some difference like electric vehicles etc are all well and good, but we need to tackle the much bigger projects. |
| A Moto service station that will create more traffic and construction for the havering borough and beyond and is not being addressed. |

| |
|---|
| Why are we wasting money on this.<br>When there is a clear problem as in Landers Lane the council ignore it. |
| There needs to be clear objections to major builds in the green belt - this is the lungs of London beyond Havering and will set a very bad precedent. It is a carbon sink and removing that will likely be more impactful negatively than any reduction of emissions. |
| Moto have applied to build 2 service stations on the M25. All these extra vehicles will have far reaching affects on air quality. The entire plan will fall apart if these service stations are allowed to be built |
| How can you build huge service station if you are trying to clean the air. More traffic more cars |
| It makes no sense if you want to clean up the air to built a huge service station on green belt |
| The public transport isn't good enough for me to use it more. The Elizabeth line is down almost every other weekend, and due to the traffic resulting from the Gallows roundabout closure, it is becoming hell to get around locally. Buses are infrequent, so I often have to rely on Ubers to travel back from the station. Also, husband and I had to drive to the airport recently due to the Elizabeth line issues, and I will have to drive to the hospital in London at the weekend due to the issues again. TFL is appalling. |
| To allow a new motorway service station in or near Havering when there's already a service station at lakeside 10 miles away /2 junctions on the M25 would be disastrous to air quality in that area |
| You have not taken into consideration the devastating impact the proposed new motorway stations on the M25 will be for air quality. To say detrimental would be under playing it! |
| How can we have a massive new development on our doorstep , for HGV's cars et al ,if you are proposing cleaner air ! |
| Tougher measures in place in regards to transportion delays and construction. |
| See separate file |
| See comments regarding Launders lane. |
| For and on behalf of resident group, Havering Alliance.<br><br>We welcome Havering Council's Air Quality Action Plan 2025-30 and its commitment to reducing air pollution. However, we have identified a significant gap in the plan regarding mineral extraction sites (quarries), which are known sources of PM10 and PM2.5 particulate matter. Given Havering's designation<br>as a Preferred Area for mineral extraction and the existence of active/proposed quarry sites in the borough,<br>this omission should be addressed<br><br>Key Concerns:<br><br>1. Mineral Extraction Sites Are Not Addressed |

The AQAP does not contain any actions, monitoring requirements, or mitigation measures specifically related to:

Existing quarry operations (e.g., Rainham Marshes area)

Proposed mineral extraction sites

HGV movements associated with aggregate/mineral transport

Dust emissions from excavation, processing, and stockpiling

This is a notable gap given that:
Construction dust is identified as 11% of PM10 emissions (Figure 6 in AQAP)
Quarry operations generate similar or greater particulate emissions through excavation, crushing, screening, and material handling

The LAEI 2019 data used for modelling does not appear to capture quarry-specific emissions

2. Limited Particulate Monitoring Network

The AQAP acknowledges only:
2 PM10 monitoring sites (Romford and Rainham)

1 PM2.5 monitoring site (Rainham)

This is insufficient for a borough with:
An Air Quality Management Area covering the entire borough

Significant industrial/extractive land uses in the south

New residential developments near potential pollution sources

3. Self-Monitoring by Operators Is Inadequate

The AQAP does not require independent, council-operated air quality monitoring near major industrial
or extractive sites. Relying on operator-conducted monitoring creates potential conflicts of interest and
reduces public confidence in reported data

4. Action 1.5 (Launders Lane Monitoring) Is Narrowly Focused

The Launders Lane monitoring (Action 1.5) is specifically targeted at the Arnolds Field landfill fires issue, not
at ongoing or future quarry operations in the area. This action should be expanded or supplemented to
address all sources of particulate pollution in the Rainham industrial corridor

You are allowing/ promoting building on greenbelt

| |
|---|
| Done nothing about launders lane |
| It's mainly about road transport. Face up to the fact that you need to get cars off the road and put cycle infrastructure in place |
| Just don’t trust that you are capable of doing anything you promise and won’t see this through. |
| Launders lane air quality being ignored for a long time. Took high court judge to force lbh to officially recognise the pollution being caused by the site |
| It largely seems to carry through unachieved goals from the previous action plan and its targets aren't SMART.<br><br>Key concerns:<br><br>Car Sharing - Private car sharing company ZipCar is potentially leaving London and the council will not commit to a public car sharing option, we are one of the most car heavy boroughs in London, and there isn't much of a vision to change that. In fact, recent consultations on library closures suggested people could drive to Romford Library to access key services.<br><br>EV transition - We need cast iron guarantees here. We know that Reform-led councils, Kent County Council, have identified EV transition budgets for cost savings despite the relatively quick ROI in operating costs and emissions savings. We need officers to spell out when this will be done, and make all of the benefits clearer in any final plan.<br><br>Low Emissions Neighbourhoods - Seeking the funding is good, but you need to build up the public goodwill for implementation through citizens assembly style initiatives. Otherwise this will not be delivered, regardless of grant funding.<br><br>WHO recommended limits - Please use WHO limits and not national guidelines in measuring air quality, the latter are outdated benchmarks.<br><br>Monitoring - We need points at the Launders Lane quarry site, at industrial zones in the south of the borough, and to not rely so heavily on self-monitoring of emissions. This target specifically, "Undertake air quality monitoring around Arnolds Field, Launders Lane, for PM2.5 and other pollutants using air quality nodes and other methods" is not urgent enough. It should be delievered short term. If you are advising schools and residents to frequently close windows when fires start, when local residents have been in intensive care units in local hospitals, some moving out of the borough because of it, then it's clear this needs immediate implementation.<br><br>Trees - We have had the highest drop in tree cover in the entire of London between 2018-2024. We need more ambitious targets and spelling out the percentage of replacement trees and new trees that will be allocated to the public highway, as this helps reduce pollution in residential areas and particularly on 'rat runs' in the borough. Street trees have also been shown in studies to lessen speeding in residential neighbourhoods.<br><br>Heat pump installation - Buildings must be well insulated for the heat pump to be effective and to ensure value for money in ongoing operating costs. |

| |
|---|
| Anti-idling - These initiatives sound good, but I know that around schools like Squirrels Heath Primary and St. Edwards Academy that idling is still occurring and that there is not enough enforcement in the mornings and at pick up times. There are cars still idling in these areas for 40 mins or more. Obviously Gallows Corner works are also keeping engines idling in nearby locations. |
| Please see my letter which, if it can't be attached, will be sent separately. |
| Commit to implementing a solution to fires at launders lane now land has been designated contaminated. |